

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP,

No C 06-2071 VRW

Plaintiff and
Counterdefendant,

ORDER

v

FRANÇOIS MARLAND,

Defendant and
Counterclaimant.

_____ /

Although permitted to serve and file an amended answer and counterclaims by the court's November 15, 2006, order, Doc #63, François Marland's filing materially differed from his proposed pleadings. Compare Doc #48 Ex A (proposed pleading) with Doc #65 (filed pleading). Thelen Reid & Priest LLP ("Thelen") sought administrative relief challenging these discrepancies. Doc #68. Thereafter, Marland filed a revised amended answer and counterclaims that removed the substantive changes. Doc #72. The only remaining differences are corrections of typographical errors.

1 Thelen now seeks additional time to file an answer to
2 Marland's revised counterclaims. Doc #74. The court will modify
3 the previous briefing schedule to accommodate Marland's late
4 filing.

5 Accordingly, Thelen's motion for administrative relief,
6 Doc #68, is DENIED AS MOOT and Thelen's motion for additional time,
7 Doc #74, is GRANTED. Thelen shall file its answer to Marland's
8 revised amended answer and counter-counterclaims on or before
9 December 1, 2006. Should Thelen assert any counter-counterclaims,
10 defendants Marland and Maas shall file motions to dismiss on or
11 before December 14, 2006, to be heard on January 18, 2007, at 2:00
12 pm.

13
14 SO ORDERED.

15
16 

17 VAUGHN R WALKER

18 United States District Chief Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28